

Probabilistic dependency modeling toolkit

Leo Lahti* and Olli-Pekka Huovilainen
Department of Information and Computer Science
Aalto University, Finland

February 25, 2011

1 Introduction

This package provides general tools for the discovery and analysis of statistical dependencies between co-occurring measurement data [5], including well-established models such as probabilistic canonical correlation analysis and its regularized variants [1, 2, 4, 9]. Probabilistic framework deals rigorously with the uncertainties associated with small sample sizes, and allows incorporation of prior information in the analysis through Bayesian priors [6]. The applicability of the models has been demonstrated in case studies [4, 9].

Dependency models help to discover regularities and interactions that are not seen in individual data sets. Multiple, complementary views of the same objects are available in many fields including computational biology, economics, linguistics, neuroinformatics, open data initiatives, social sciences, and other domains. Demand for methods to analyze such data is increasing with the availability of co-occurring observations. Open access implementations of the algorithmic solutions help to realize the full potential of these information sources. Your feedback and contributions are welcome.¹

1.1 Installation

Install dmt from within R using command
`'install.packages("dmt", repos="http://R-Forge.R-project.org")'`

2 Probabilistic dependency modeling framework

The *fit.dependency.model* function implements the probabilistic dependency modeling framework presented in [2] and its subsequent extensions [1, 3, 4]. The latent variable model assumes that the two data sets, X and Y can be decomposed in *shared* and *data set-specific* components (Figure 1). We provide tools to discover these components.

*leo.lahti@iki.fi

¹See the project page at R-Forge: <http://dmt.r-forge.r-project.org/>




Figure 1: Graphical description of the shared latent variable model with observations X, Y and a shared latent variable Z .

The shared signal between two (multivariate) observations \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} is modeled with a shared latent variable \mathbf{z} . The shared component can have different manifestation in each data set, described by the linear transformations W_x and W_y . A standard Gaussian model for the shared latent variable $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, I)$ and data set-specific effects gives the following model:

$$\begin{aligned} X &\sim W_x \mathbf{z} + \varepsilon_x \\ Y &\sim W_y \mathbf{z} + \varepsilon_y \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The data set-specific effects are modelled by multivariate Gaussians $\varepsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Psi_i)$ with covariances Ψ_x, Ψ_y , respectively.

Particular constraints on the model structure can be set through prior parameters in the `fit.dependency.model` function. For instance, it is possible to tune the dimensionality of the latent variable, require non-negativity for W , or to set particular structure on the marginal covariances. An overview is provided below.

2.1 Dependency detection algorithm

The modeling framework described in Section~2 is implemented in the `fit.dependency.model` function. To use the default method to detect dependencies between two data sets, X and Y , try:

```
> library(dmt)
```

```
dmt Copyright (C) 2008-2011 Leo Lahti and Olli-Pekka Huovilainen.
```

```
This program comes with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY.
```

```
This is free software, and you are welcome to redistribute it under FreeBSD, see the licensing to
```

```
> data(modelData)
```

```
> model <- fit.dependency.model(X, Y)
```

2.2 Regularized dependency detection

Various options are available to tune the model structure and to guide dependency modeling through Bayesian priors implemented in the `'priors'` option in the `fit.dependency.model` function [4]. For instance, the following will fit a model with $W_x = W_y$, with non-negative (but otherwise unconstrained) W_x, W_y and with full marginal covariances (Ψ_x, Ψ_y) for the dataset-specific effects.

```
> model <- fit.dependency.model(X, Y, priors = list(Nm.wx.wy.sigma = 0,
+         Nm.wx.wy.mean = 1, W = 0.001), marginalCovariances = "full")
```

2.2.1 Available regularization options

Here is a brief summary of the available options. See `help(fit.dependency.model)` for further options and examples.

zDimension Dimensionality of the latent variable, which is used to characterize the latent effects. By default, full dimensionality is used, but in many applications the relevant dependencies can be described with lower-dimensional representation of the shared effects.

W By default, no constraints are applied on W_x and W_y . However, non-negative solutions can be obtained by setting an exponential prior with rate parameter W : $W_x \sim \exp(-WW_x(i))$ for each element i of the matrix W_x , and respectively for W_y . Small values of the rate parameter enforce non-negativity but are otherwise non-informative.

marginalCovariances Dataset-specific effects can come from Gaussian distribution with either full, diagonal, isotropic, or identical isotropic covariance structure. The last option refers to a model with $\Psi_x = \Psi_y$.

matched If `matched = TRUE`, it is possible to tune the relationship between W_x and W_y . See `Nm.wx.wy.sigma`.

Nm.wx.wy.sigma Assuming that $W_y = TW_x$, it is possible to tune the relationship between W_x and W_y through a prior on T . This can be useful for guiding the modeling to focus on certain types of dependencies, and to avoid overfitting. Here, a matrix normal distribution is applied: $T \sim N_m(H, \sigma I, \sigma I)$, with mean and covariance $H = \text{Nm.wx.wy.mean}$, $\sigma I = \text{Nm.wx.wy.sigma}I$, respectively. By default, `Nm.wx.wy.mean = I`. The prior can be tuned through σ . When $\sigma = 0$, $W_x = W_y$; when $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, the relationship between W_x and W_y is not constrained.

2.3 Special cases

Special cases of the model include probabilistic versions of canonical correlation analysis, factor analysis, and principal component analysis, and their regularized variants.

Probabilistic CCA (pCCA) assumes full covariance matrices Ψ_x, Ψ_y , and arbitrary linear transformations W_x, W_y that maximize the likelihood of the model in Eq. 1. Full marginal covariances provide the most detailed model for the data set specific effects. The connection of this latent variable model and the traditional canonical correlation analysis has been established in [2].

Probabilistic SimCCA (pSimCCA) is equivalent to pCCA, but has the additional constraint $W_x = W_y$. This less flexible model is more robust against overfitting when the data is scarce [4].

Probabilistic factor analysis (pFA) is equivalent to pCCA, except diagonal covariances Ψ_x, Ψ_y . The simplified structure regularizes the solution and can potentially reduce overfitting in applications. The model is identical to concatenating X, Y , and fitting ordinary probabilistic factor analysis on the concatenated data set. In addition, a special case is implemented where each covariance matrix Ψ is isotropic (but not necessarily identical). The standard probabilistic factor analysis model for a single data set is also available [7].

Probabilistic PCA (pPCA) is obtained with identical isotropic covariances $\Psi_x = \Psi_y = \sigma I$. This model is identical to concatenating X, Y , and fitting ordinary probabilistic PCA on the

concatenated data. The standard probabilistic PCA model for a single data set is also available [8].

2.4 Interpreting the results

The *fit.dependency.model* function provides an object of `DependencyModel` class. To retrieve options and model parameters corresponding to the model in Equation 1, investigate the output as follows:

```
> W <- getW(model)
> psi <- getPhi(model)
> Z <- getZ(model)
```

For a full list of model output, including the original inputs for function call, check:

```
> slotNames(model)

[1] "W"      "phi"    "score"  "method" "params" "data"   "z"
```

For detailed explanation of the output, see `help(fit.dependency.model)`.

A comprehensive set of examples with toy data is available at <http://dmt.r-forge.r-project.org/tests/complete/> (see the README file for details)

2.5 Parameter estimation

Model parameters are estimated with an EM algorithm. Conventional optimization methods are used when no analytical solution exists for particular variables.

3 Dependency-based dimensionality reduction

The drCCA algorithm [9] provides tools for dimensionality reduction and data fusion that retains the variation shared between the original data sources, while reducing data set-specific effects based on a linear projections. The algorithms combine data sets with co-occurring samples into a common representation of low dimensionality based on linear transformations through generalized CCA. This helps to discover dependencies between multiple data sets (two or more) simultaneously. Regularization options and automated tools are available to select the final dimensionality of the combined data set.

The following example shows how to perform dependency-based dimension reduction on two data sets (samples x features matrices).

```
> data(expdata1)
> data(expdata2)
> drcca <- drCCAcombine(list(expdata1, expdata2))
```

```
[1] "Number of input matrices"
[1] 2
```

```

[1] "Number of test and training matrices created"
[1] 3
[1] "Normal gCCA"
[1] 2000 12

> r <- regCCA(list(expdata1, expdata2))

[1] "Normal gCCA"

> shared <- sharedVar(list(expdata1, expdata2), r, 4)

```

Linear projections are identified for each individual data set, and a combined representation of the dependent components is constructed on a lower-dimensional space. See the original publication for further details [9]:

Acknowledgements

Particular thanks go to contributors Arto Klami and Abhishek Tripathi regarding the drCCA functionality.

Details

- *Licensing terms*: the package is licensed under FreeBSD open software license.
- *Citing DMT*: When using the package, please cite [5, 6]; for particular algorithms (drCCA, fit.dependency.model, etc.), see also additional citation information in the documentation.

This document was written using:

```
> sessionInfo()
```

```
R version 2.12.1 (2010-12-16)
```

```
Platform: x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu (64-bit)
```

```
locale:
```

```

[1] LC_CTYPE=en_US.UTF-8      LC_NUMERIC=C
[3] LC_TIME=en_US.UTF-8      LC_COLLATE=C
[5] LC_MONETARY=C            LC_MESSAGES=en_US.UTF-8
[7] LC_PAPER=en_US.UTF-8     LC_NAME=C
[9] LC_ADDRESS=C            LC_TELEPHONE=C
[11] LC_MEASUREMENT=en_US.UTF-8 LC_IDENTIFICATION=C

```

```
attached base packages:
```

```
[1] stats      graphics  grDevices  utils      datasets  methods   base
```

```
other attached packages:
```

```
[1] dmt_0.7.06      MASS_7.3-9      Matrix_0.999375-46 lattice_0.19-13
```

[5] mvtnorm_0.9-96

loaded via a namespace (and not attached):

[1] grid_2.12.1 tools_2.12.1

References

- [1] C. Archambeau, N. Delannay, and M. Verleysen. Robust probabilistic projections. In W.W. Cohen and A. Moore, editors, *Proceedings of the 23rd International conference on machine learning*, pages 33–40. ACM, 2006.
- [2] F.R. Bach and M.I. Jordan. A probabilistic interpretation of canonical correlation analysis. Technical Report 688, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, 2005.
- [3] A. Klami and S. Kaski. Probabilistic approach to detecting dependencies between data sets. *Neurocomputing*, 72(1-3):39–46, 2008.
- [4] L. Lahti, S. Myllykangas, S. Knuutila, and S. Kaski. Dependency detection with similarity constraints. In *Proc. MLSP'09 IEEE International Workshop on Machine Learning for Signal Processing*, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 2009.
- [5] L. Lahti *et al.* Dependency modeling toolkit. International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML-2010). Workshop on Machine Learning Open Source Software. Haifa, Israel, 2010. Project url: <http://dmt.r-forge.r-project.org>
- [6] L. Lahti. Probabilistic analysis of the human transcriptome with side information. PhD thesis. Aalto University School of Science and Technology, Department of information and Computer Science, Espoo, Finland, 2010. <http://lib.tkk.fi/Diss/2010/isbn9789526033686/>
- [7] D.B. Rubin and D.T. Thayer. EM algorithms for ML factor analysis. *Psychometrika* 47(1):69–76, 1982. url: <http://www.springerlink.com/content/f7x3718656877311/>
- [8] M.E. Tipping and C.M. Bishop. Probabilistic principal component analysis. *Journal of Royal Statistical Society B* 61(3):611–622, 1999.
- [9] A. Klami, A. Tripathi and S. Kaski. Simple integrative preprocessing preserves what is shared in data sources. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 9(111), 2008.